


ISSN 1110 – 0346



Mansoura University,
Journal of Agricultural Sciences



Reprint from

Volume 34 No. (5)

May, 2009

Established in 1976

Official Publication of

Faculty of Agriculture , Mansoura University

Telefax : 050/2221688

E-mail : agrjournal@mans.eun.eg

MUTATION OF *Aspergillus niger* WITH GAMMA RADIATION FOR IMPROVING CITRIC ACID PRODUCTION

Neweigy, N. A.; H.E. Abou-Aly and Jihan A. Shaaban
Botany Dept., Fac. of Agric., Benha Univ., Egypt

ABSTRACT

Gamma radiation was used to induce citric acid overproduction mutations in some of the locally isolated strains of *Aspergillus niger*. Comparison between the parent and mutant strains was studied. The study revealed that, the most two isolates potent in the acid production were identified as *A. niger* F₁ and F₈. Modified Czapek's medium proved to be the best medium for citric acid production. As for the influence of irradiation of *A. niger* F₁ and F₈ strains using gamma rays in different doses (25 – 200 k rad) on the production of citric acid, one mutant obtained from 175 k rad treatment for *A. niger* F₁ and another mutant obtained from 100 k rad treatment for *A. niger* F₈. These two mutants (*A. niger* MF₁ and *A. niger* MF₈) increased the citric acid production as compared with the parent strains. The best sugar concentration of beet molasses was when the dilution of sugar reached 15% from the basal medium. Addition of potassium ferrocyanide to beet molasses, to precipitate excess heavy metals, at a concentration of 0.15% gave the highest conversion coefficient and yield of citric acid for parent and mutant strains. The addition of alcohols, namely methanol or ethanol (4%) to beet molasses medium greatly stimulated citric acid production. Methanol addition (4%) gave the best result of conversion coefficient and yield of citric acid for *A. niger* F₁ and F₈ as well as MF₁ and MF₈, followed by ethanol treatment (4%) which gave an increase in citric acid as compared to control. The proper time for addition of methanol was found to be immediately at the beginning of fermentation.

Keywords: Citric acid, *Aspergillus niger*, mutation, γ -radiation beet molasses, alcohol.

INTRODUCTION

Citric acid is one of the few bulk chemicals produced by fermentation and is the most exploited biochemical product. Citric acid has a broad use in the household, in the preparation of numerous industrial products and in many industrial areas such as the food, pharmaceutical and chemical industries and as a cleaning agent. The supply of natural citric acid is limited and the demand can only be satisfied by biotechnological fermentation processes. (Anastassiadis *et al.*, 2002).

The optimization of fermentation conditions are of primary importance in the development of any fermentation process owing to their impact on the economy and practicability of the process. (He *et al.*, 2004). The production of citric acid using cheap carbon source from agri-industrial byproducts provides considerable combined benefit of waste material management and decrease of citric acid production cost (John *et al.*, 2006 and Barrington & Kim, 2008).

Fermentation media for citric acid biosynthesis should be consist of substrates necessary for the growth of microorganism, primarily the carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus sources. Along with the carbon, nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium sources, the minerals such as Fe, Zn, Mn, Cu and Mg are also critical factors which need to be optimized for the production of citric acid (Lofty *et al.*, 2007 and Imandi *et al.*, 2008). Citric acid produced by *A. niger* is extremely sensitive to trace metals present in molasses such as

iron, zinc, copper and manganese, etc. especially during submerged fermentation. The concentration of these heavy metals should be decreased well below that required for optimal mycelial growth (Majolli and Aguirre, 1999 and El-Holi and Al-Delaimy, 2003).

Improvement of the microbial producer strain offers the greatest opportunity for cost reduction without significant capital outlay (Stanbury *et al.*, 1995). This is achieved when a selected strain can synthesize a higher proportion of the product using the same amount of raw materials. Since citric acid is an intermediate of energy metabolism, its concentration can rise to appreciable amounts under conditions of metabolic imbalances (Hutter, 1983). Strains with superior characters, such as enhanced citric acid production and increased rate of fermentation have been previously selected after subjecting the genetic material to physical or chemical mutagenic agents (Ikram-UI *et al.*, 2003, 2004 and 2005). *A. niger* has the potential to produce a number of primary and secondary metabolites. The techniques of ultraviolet irradiations, gamma rays or N-methyl, N-nitro-N-nitroso-guanidine (MNNG) induced mutagenesis are useful to improve the yield of various secondary metabolites by *A. niger* (Gupta and Sharma, 1995 and Ikram-UI *et al.*, 2004).

In the present investigation, challenges were made for raising potent of *A. niger* for citric acid production by random mutagenesis using gamma radiation. For further cost reduction, the ability of the selected mutagenized strain to utilize agro-industrial by-products as carbon and nitrogen sources was also examined.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Microorganisms

Microorganisms were isolated from natural sources such as soil, orange, grapes and air contamination. Isolates of the discrete colonies were transferred to slants Czapek's agar medium respectively after further purification on the same medium. The obtained fungi were tested to their ability to produce citric acid. Fifty ml portions of modified Czapek's medium were dispensed in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks. The flasks were sterilized and pH was adjusted to 6.0. The flasks were inoculated by suitable spore suspension of any of the isolates, and then incubated at 30°C. The determinations of acidity were carried out at daily intervals. The strain which gave the highest acidity was selected and identified (Neweigy, 1972). Citric acid determination was carried out and the produced acid was found to be almost citric acid.

Effect of gamma radiation

Spores of each selected strain of *A. niger* were grown on a solid medium in Petri dishes and slants. After 10 days of incubation at 30°C, spore suspension was prepared from each slant, then the plate cultures and the spore suspensions were irradiated with different doses of gamma radiation namely 25, 50, 75, 100, 125, 150, 175 and 200 k rad. Serial dilutions in sterile water were made for all treatments as well as control. One ml of spore suspension of each of the final three dilutions was plated on Czapek's agar medium in Petri dishes. After 36 hours of incubation, ten discrete developing

colonies (chosen at random) of each treatment and control were transferred to 50 ml portions of modified Czapek's solution medium in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks. Inoculated flasks were incubated at 30°C for 15 days. During fermentation period, total acidity was determined at intervals by the titration of 1 ml aliquots against 0.1N sodium hydroxide solution using phenolphthalein as an indicator to select the strain which produced the highest percentage of citric acid (Neweigy, 1980). At the highest values of titratable acidity, citric acid was measured.

Standard inoculum

The molasses agar which consist of (g/L): molasses (300.0); KH_2PO_4 (0.50); NH_4NO_3 (2.0); distilled water (1000 ml), was used as a sporulation medium. This medium was inoculated with the required strain of *A. niger*. After 10 days of incubation at 30°C, spores were scraped using sterilized water. Two ml of spore suspension was used for inoculation of the fermentation media.

Pre-treatment of molasses

Beet molasses obtained from the sugar factory at El-Hamol, Kafr El-Sheikh governorate, was used in the present study. Beet molasses contains water 24%, sugar contents 51% and ash contents 3.1%. Ash contents include ions such as Mn, Al, Fe, Cu and Zn in variable ratio (Abo-Aly, 1996). Sugar content was diluted to about 50% sugar level. The molasses solution, after adding 35 ml of 1N H_2SO_4 per litre, was boiled for an hour, cooled, neutralized and was left to stand overnight for clarification (Panda *et al.*, 1984). The clear supernatant liquid was used.

Fermentation

Fifty ml portions of the fermentation medium were dispensed in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks. After sterilization, the pH was adjusted using suitable amount of sterilized acid. Suitable inoculum (2ml) was added to each flask then flasks were incubated at 30°C until the end of the fermentation period. Chemical analysis was done periodically along the fermentation period. Four replicates were made for each treatment. During the fermentation period, 1 ml of the fermented liquor was taken at intervals for determining the titratable acidity. At the end of the incubation period the biomass was pressed to force the liquid out. Biomass was dried at 70°C for 48 hours, and the residual of the fermented liquor was taken for chemical determination (residual sugar and citric acid determination).

Estimation of the total titratable acidity

The total acidity was determined by the titration of 1 ml aliquots against 0.1N NaOH solution using phenolphthalein as an indicator.

Estimation of sugars

Total and reducing sugar were determined spectrophotometrically according to the method described by Dubois *et al.* (1956) and Thomas and Dutcher (1924), respectively. The sugar content was calculated as glucose from standard curve prepared for glucose.

Estimation of citric acid

The estimation of citric acid was carried out colourimetrically according to the method described by Marrier and Boulets (1958).

Calculations

Substrate utilization efficiency (S.U.E.) was calculated according to Herbert *et al.* (1956) by the following equation: $S.U.E. = [\text{Consumed sugar(g)}/\text{Original sugar (g)}] \times 100$.

Conversion coefficient or conversion percentage was calculated according to Foster (1949) as follows: $[\text{Amount of citric acid produced (g)}/\text{Consumed sugar (g)}] \times 100$.

Citric acid yield (%) was calculated as follows: $[\text{Amount of citric acid produced(g)}/\text{Original sugar (g)}] \times 100$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of different incubation periods

In a screening experiment, twelve isolates of fungi were examined for total titrable acidity production at different incubation periods. As shown in Table (1), most of the isolates produce highly amounts of titrable acidity after 13 days of growth. Generally, the isolates F1 and F6 recorded high values in the acidity production at different incubation periods. Therefore, the two isolates were selected and identified as *A. niger*.

Table 1. Total titratable acidity produced by selected isolates of *Aspergillus* sp. (NaOH ml equivalent).

| Incubation Period (Days) | F ₁ | F ₂ | F ₃ | F ₄ | F ₅ | F ₆ | F ₇ | F ₈ | F ₉ | F ₁₀ | F ₁₁ | F ₁₂ |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 5 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 3.2 |
| 7 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 3.5 |
| 9 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 5.2 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 1.9 | 4.0 |
| 11 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 5.9 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 4.3 | 2.0 | 4.5 |
| 13 | 6.2 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 4.0 | 5.1 | 6.5 | 3.8 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 2.5 | 5.0 |
| 15 | 5.8 | 3.0 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 2.7 | 5.2 | 2.0 | 4.7 |
| 17 | 4.7 | 2.8 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 4.3 | 2.4 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 4.5 |

F₁-F₁₂ = Isolates of fungi isolated from different natural sources.

Effect of different media

Data in Table (2) give a comparison between four media namely, Bernhauer (Neweigy, 1972), Modified Czapek's, Chmiel (1975) and Uchio *et al.* (1976) media, in order to improve the biomass formation, consumed sugar, substrate utilization efficiency, conversion coefficient and citric acid yield for the both strains *A. niger* F1 and F6, the observation indicated that Modified Czapek's medium was the best medium for the two strains. In this medium, conversion coefficient reached 50.42% and 52.25% for *A. niger* F₁ and F₆, respectively, also, the yield of citric acid reached 40.0% and 43.33% for F₁ and F₆, respectively after 13 days incubation. Upon further incubation citric acid production showed gradual slow decrease. This medium was followed by Bernhauer medium (M₁) for both *A. niger* strains. However, media M₃ and M₄ were unsuitable for citric acid production by the working strains. Therefore, modified Czapek's medium was used for further studies as a basal medium.

Table 3. Citric acid produced by gamma irradiated mutant *Aspergillus niger* strain F₁ incubated for 13 days.

| Treatment | γ-dose (k rad) | Citric acid (%) of mutant F ₁ strains | | | | | | | | | | | Parent strain |
|------------------|----------------|--|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Mean | |
| Spore Suspension | 25 | 20.0 | 9.3 | 22.6 | 10.6 | 13.3 | 20.0 | 16.0 | 20.0 | 17.3 | 13.3 | 16.2 | 41.3 |
| | 50 | 16.6 | 12.6 | 10.0 | 11.3 | 12.0 | 13.3 | 12.0 | 12.6 | 17.3 | 18 | 13.6 | |
| | 75 | 20.0 | 14.0 | 20.0 | 13.3 | 15.3 | 18.0 | 12.0 | 18.6 | 11.3 | 12.0 | 15.4 | |
| | 100 | 12.6 | 11.3 | 18.6 | 16.0 | 12.0 | 13.3 | 12.6 | 14.6 | 13.3 | 9.3 | 13.3 | |
| | 125 | 16.6 | 20.6 | 12.0 | 16.6 | 13.3 | 11.3 | 6.6 | 14.0 | 13.3 | 18.0 | 14.2 | |
| | 150 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 13.3 | 16.0 | 12.6 | 16.0 | 13.3 | 16.6 | 20.0 | 22.0 | 16.0 | |
| | 175 | 11.3 | 20.6 | 15.3 | 9.3 | 8.6 | 18.6 | 8.6 | 10.6 | 8.6 | 16.0 | 12.8 | |
| | 200 | 8.6 | 18.0 | 21.3 | 16.6 | 8.6 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 12.0 | 11.3 | 14.6 | 13.2 | |
| Plate Cultures | 25 | 18.6 | 22.0 | 21.3 | 24.6 | 20.6 | 26.6 | 18.6 | 10.6 | 23.3 | 18.6 | 20.5 | |
| | 50 | 14.6 | 21.3 | 15.3 | 19.3 | 22.0 | 22.6 | 28.0 | 30.0 | 31.3 | 16.0 | 22.0 | |
| | 75 | 18.6 | 20.0 | 25.3 | 11.3 | 19.3 | 18.0 | 22.6 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 18.0 | 19.7 | |
| | 100 | 25.3 | 30.0 | 18.0 | 28.0 | 20.0 | 28.6 | 30.6 | 21.3 | 16.6 | 26.0 | 24.4 | |
| | 125 | 18.6 | 14.0 | 10.0 | 12.0 | 22.0 | 13.3 | 16.6 | 21.3 | 21.3 | 16.6 | 16.6 | |
| | 150 | 8.0 | 11.3 | 13.3 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 9.3 | 14.6 | 10.0 | 12.6 | 13.3 | 10.9 | |
| | 175 | 38.0 | 34.6 | 32.0 | 53.3 | 40.6 | 36.6 | 38.0 | 34.6 | 33.3 | 30.0 | 37.1 | |
| | 200 | 36.6 | 36.0 | 34.6 | 32.6 | 33.3 | 36.6 | 39.3 | 35.3 | 34.6 | 38.0 | 35.7 | |

Effect of different dilutions of molasses on citric acid production

The presence of some elements in high concentrations is considered to be inhibitory to citric acid fermentation. Dilution of molasses to a suitable sugar concentration may also help in diluting the undesirable high concentrations of trace elements. To investigate this point, an experiment was constructed using different dilutions of the experimental molasses in which the sugar content varied from 5% to 20%. Every dilution was supplemented with the inorganic nutrients of the modified Czapek's medium and inoculated with *A. niger* F₁, F₆ and mutant strains MF₁ and MF₆.

Data in Table (5) showed that the maximum yield of citric acid (58.0% and 61.33%) for parent strains F₁ and F₆, respectively and (74.0% and 81.33%) for mutant strains MF₁ and MF₆, respectively, was obtained in the medium containing diluted molasses (15% sugar). In respect of conversion coefficient of citric acid, the mutant strain MF₁ gave higher values (87.13%) than the parent strain F₁ (67.03) at 15% sugar. The same trend was obtained by *A. niger* MF₆ and F₆ which gave conversion coefficient 87.52% and 67.10% respectively. These results are in line with those obtained by Ul-Haq *et al.* (2002b). They found that maximum amount of citric acid (92.50 g/l) was obtained in the medium containing 159 g/l sugar. Also, Ul-Haq *et al.* (2002a) observed reduction in citric acid formation when the sugar concentration of molasses was increased. Also, Darani and Zoghi (2008) showed that increasing in yield and productivity was obtained by using higher initial sugar concentration (18% w/w).

Table 4. Citric acid produced by gamma irradiated mutant *Aspergillus niger* strains F₆ incubated for 13 days.

| Treatment | γ- dose (k rad) | Citric acid (%) of mutant F ₆ strains | | | | | | | | | | | Parent strain |
|------------------|-----------------|--|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Mean | |
| Spore Suspension | 25 | 12.0 | 16.6 | 16.6 | 10.6 | 11.3 | 15.3 | 18.6 | 14.6 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 15.2 | 52.0 |
| | 50 | 22.6 | 12.6 | 16.6 | 20.0 | 22.0 | 9.3 | 25.3 | 18.0 | 22.6 | 24.0 | 19.3 | |
| | 75 | 20.0 | 22.0 | 16.6 | 10.6 | 20.6 | 14.6 | 14.0 | 20.6 | 18.6 | 19.3 | 17.7 | |
| | 100 | 10.6 | 23.3 | 13.3 | 11.3 | 18.6 | 19.3 | 22.0 | 12.0 | 11.3 | 9.3 | 15.1 | |
| | 125 | 10.0 | 15.3 | 11.3 | 15.3 | 16.0 | 8.0 | 20.6 | 12.6 | 16.0 | 20.6 | 17.7 | |
| | 150 | 18.6 | 20.6 | 12.0 | 14.6 | 13.3 | 16.0 | 16.6 | 11.3 | 21.3 | 10.0 | 15.6 | |
| | 175 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 8.6 | 19.3 | 11.3 | 20.6 | 13.3 | 12.0 | 13.3 | 10.0 | 13.0 | |
| | 200 | 8.6 | 13.3 | 12.6 | 21.3 | 16.6 | 12.0 | 10.6 | 14.6 | 15.3 | 11.3 | 13.6 | |
| Plate Cultures | 25 | 22.6 | 36.0 | 26.6 | 36.0 | 34.6 | 36.0 | 46.0 | 25.3 | 28.0 | 30.0 | 32.1 | |
| | 50 | 36.0 | 30.6 | 46.6 | 36.6 | 25.3 | 24.0 | 38.6 | 27.3 | 29.3 | 32.0 | 32.6 | |
| | 75 | 32.6 | 20.6 | 25.3 | 20.6 | 33.3 | 39.3 | 22.0 | 26.6 | 22.6 | 30.0 | 27.3 | |
| | 100 | 46.6 | 45.3 | 26.6 | 40.0 | 22.6 | 24.6 | 66.6 | 29.3 | 22.6 | 9.0 | 35.4 | |
| | 125 | 26.6 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 32.0 | 28.0 | 24.6 | 24.6 | 26.0 | 26.6 | 24.0 | 27.9 | |
| | 150 | 22.6 | 28.6 | 25.3 | 26.6 | 26.6 | 25.3 | 20.0 | 23.3 | 16.0 | 28.0 | 22.6 | |
| | 175 | 20.0 | 30.6 | 23.3 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 13.3 | 20.6 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 16.6 | 21.4 | |
| | 200 | 20.0 | 26.6 | 17.3 | 22.0 | 23.3 | 19.3 | 16.6 | 21.3 | 16.0 | 15.3 | 19.8 | |

Table 5. Effect of different dilution of beet molasses on citric acid production by the parent and mutant strains of *A. niger*.

| Molasses (%) | CS (g/100 ml) | S.U.E. (%) | CC (%) | Citric acid (%) | CS (g/100 ml) | S.U.E. (%) | CC (%) | Citric acid (%) |
|--------------------------------|---------------|------------|--------|---------------------------------|---------------|------------|--------|-----------------|
| <i>A. niger</i> F ₁ | | | | <i>A. niger</i> MF ₁ | | | | |
| 5 | 3.52 | 70.39 | 56.82 | 40.00 | 4.32 | 86.41 | 64.81 | 56.00 |
| 10 | 8.79 | 87.90 | 62.57 | 55.00 | 8.44 | 84.40 | 86.49 | 73.00 |
| 15 | 12.98 | 86.53 | 67.03 | 58.00 | 12.74 | 84.93 | 87.13 | 74.00 |
| 20 | 16.85 | 84.25 | 17.21 | 14.50 | 16.70 | 83.39 | 31.74 | 26.50 |
| <i>A. niger</i> F ₆ | | | | <i>A. niger</i> MF ₆ | | | | |
| 5 | 4.16 | 83.20 | 62.50 | 52.00 | 4.02 | 80.39 | 72.14 | 58.00 |
| 10 | 9.21 | 92.10 | 66.23 | 61.00 | 9.26 | 92.60 | 86.39 | 80.00 |
| 15 | 13.71 | 91.40 | 67.10 | 61.33 | 13.94 | 92.93 | 87.52 | 81.33 |
| 20 | 17.35 | 86.76 | 28.24 | 24.50 | 16.88 | 84.39 | 43.25 | 36.50 |

CS, consumed Sugar and CC, Conversion Coefficient.

Effect of potassium ferrocyanide addition to molasses medium on citric acid production

The effect of different concentrations of ferrocyanide (0.00-0.20%) on the production of citric acid by both wild type and their mutants was evaluated. As shown in Table (6), increasing in substrate utilization efficiency, conversion coefficient and yield of citric acid were obtained using mutant

strains of *A. niger* (MF1 and MF6) than the parent strains (F1 and F6). Accumulation of citric acid showed positive response with the increase of ferrocyanide concentration. The highest value of citric acid yield was obtained when 0.15% of ferrocyanide was used being to the parent strains F₁ and F₆ (72.0 and 76.67%) and to the mutant strains MF₁ and MF₆ (87.33 and 91.33%), respectively. Further increase in the concentration of ferrocyanide than 0.15%, citric acid yield was sharply decreased by the mutant strains than the parent strains. The consumed sugar and substrate utilization efficiency were also continuously decreased by increasing the concentration of ferrocyanide beyond 0.15%.

The purpose of ferrocyanide addition was first thought to precipitate the undesirable trace elements. Martin (1955) found that beside trace elements precipitation, ferrocyanide had also a direct effect on the inhibition of the isocitric dehydrogenase. Ferrocyanide may also inhibit the aconitase enzyme beside its inhibitions to the isocitric dehydrogenase. The obtained results are in harmony with the observations of Ali et al. (2002) and Saad (2006). They found that the addition of potassium ferrocyanide (0.02-0.15%) to autoclaved medium led to considerable higher yield of citric acid.

Table 6. Effect of different concentrations of potassium ferrocyanide on the production of citric acid by the parent and mutant strains of *A. niger*.

| K ferrocyanide (%) | CS (g/100ml) | S.U.E. (%) | CC (%) | Citric acid (%) | CS (g/100 ml) | S.U.E. (%) | CC (%) | Citric acid (%) |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|------------|--------|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------|--------|-----------------|
| | <i>A. niger</i> F ₁ | | | | <i>A. niger</i> MF ₁ | | | |
| 0.00 | 13.90 | 92.66 | 59.71 | 55.33 | 13.30 | 88.67 | 68.42 | 60.67 |
| 0.05 | 14.15 | 94.32 | 69.26 | 65.33 | 13.42 | 89.47 | 76.75 | 68.67 |
| 0.10 | 14.29 | 95.27 | 72.08 | 68.67 | 13.75 | 71.67 | 77.09 | 70.67 |
| 0.15 | 14.61 | 97.40 | 73.92 | 72.00 | 13.97 | 93.13 | 93.77 | 87.33 |
| 0.20 | 13.48 | 89.87 | 70.47 | 63.33 | 13.21 | 88.06 | 42.39 | 37.33 |
| | <i>A. niger</i> F ₆ | | | | <i>A. niger</i> MF ₆ | | | |
| 0.00 | 13.74 | 91.59 | 63.32 | 58.00 | 13.99 | 93.26 | 67.91 | 63.33 |
| 0.05 | 13.57 | 90.46 | 72.22 | 65.33 | 13.28 | 88.54 | 75.30 | 66.67 |
| 0.10 | 13.93 | 92.88 | 76.09 | 70.67 | 13.77 | 91.79 | 81.34 | 81.34 |
| 0.15 | 14.08 | 93.87 | 81.68 | 76.67 | 14.20 | 94.66 | 96.48 | 91.33 |
| 0.20 | 11.58 | 77.21 | 73.40 | 56.67 | 12.84 | 85.60 | 70.09 | 60.00 |

CS, consumed Sugar and CC, Conversion Coefficient.

Effect of addition of different alcohols to molasses medium on citric acid production

The most suitable type and concentration of lower alcohol (ethanol and methanol) to be added to the experimental molasses used for citric acid production by *A. niger* (F₁ & F₆) and their mutants (MF1 & MF6). From data presented in Tables (7 and 8) it can be observed that addition of 4% alcohol markedly stimulated citric acid yield. Increasing or decreasing alcohol concentration above or fewer than 4% resulted in sharp drop of citric acid yield. El-Holi and Al-Delaimy (2003) and Ul-Haq et al. (2003) found that higher methanol concentration (up to 5%) caused drastic decrease in citric acid production reaching its minimum with the addition of 5%. Mutant strains

of *A. niger* (MF₁ and MF₆) could tolerate the presence of low concentrations of methanol and ethanol alcohols added to beet molasses medium used in citric acid fermentation. The addition of methanol or ethanol (4%) to beet molasses medium greatly stimulated citric acid production. Methanol addition (4%) gave the best results of conversion coefficient and citric acid yield by the parent and mutant strains as compared to ethanol addition.

Table 7. Effect of ethanol addition to beet molasses medium on citric acid production by the parent and mutant strains of *A. niger*.

| Ethanol (%) | CS (g/100ml) | S.U.E (%) | CC (%) | Citric acid (%) | CS (g/100ml) | S.U.E (%) | CC (%) | Citric acid (%) |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------|--------|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------|--------|-----------------|
| <i>A. niger</i> F ₁ | | | | <i>A. niger</i> MF ₁ | | | | |
| 0 | 13.90 | 92.66 | 59.71 | 55.33 | 13.30 | 88.67 | 68.42 | 60.67 |
| 1 | 14.35 | 95.67 | 35.54 | 34.00 | 13.98 | 93.19 | 47.93 | 44.67 |
| 2 | 13.67 | 91.14 | 53.40 | 48.67 | 14.42 | 96.13 | 59.64 | 57.33 |
| 3 | 13.83 | 92.21 | 57.12 | 52.67 | 13.51 | 60.06 | 59.96 | 54.00 |
| 4 | 13.99 | 93.27 | 60.04 | 56.00 | 14.02 | 93.46 | 67.76 | 63.33 |
| 5 | 14.11 | 94.05 | 46.07 | 43.33 | 13.79 | 91.94 | 65.99 | 60.67 |
| <i>A. niger</i> F ₆ | | | | <i>A. niger</i> MF ₆ | | | | |
| 0 | 13.74 | 91.59 | 63.32 | 58.00 | 13.99 | 93.26 | 67.91 | 63.33 |
| 1 | 14.03 | 94.52 | 46.33 | 34.33 | 14.07 | 93.80 | 52.59 | 49.33 |
| 2 | 13.60 | 90.66 | 56.62 | 51.33 | 14.14 | 64.26 | 67.19 | 63.33 |
| 3 | 14.04 | 93.60 | 62.68 | 58.67 | 13.86 | 92.40 | 73.59 | 68.00 |
| 4 | 13.38 | 89.19 | 66.52 | 59.33 | 14.15 | 94.34 | 83.39 | 78.67 |
| 5 | 14.03 | 93.54 | 56.31 | 52.67 | 13.99 | 93.26 | 74.34 | 69.33 |

CS, consumed Sugar and CC, Conversion Coefficient.

The observed increases in citric acid concentration showed that methanol and ethanol have a profound effect on the metabolism of sugars by *A. niger*. The mechanism by which methanol and ethanol stimulate citric acid production from sugars is not clear. Maddox *et al.* (1986) reported that the effect of methanol and ethanol are at the cell permeability level, allowing metabolites to be excreted from the cell. The results are in agreement with the findings of many investigators (Yaykasli *et al.*, 2005 and Darani and Zoghi, 2008) who found that alcohols addition to media used in citric acid fermentation increased the tolerance of *A. niger* to the presence of the high mineral content and decreased the tolerance of these minerals on citric acid production from molasses.

Effect of the proper time of addition of methanol to molasses medium on citric acid production

The effect of addition time of methanol on production of citric acid is shown in Table (9). The time interval was ranged from 0 to 72 hour, after incubation. Production of citric acid gradually decreased somewhat when the time for addition of methanol was increased.

Table 8. Effect of methanol addition to beet molasses medium on citric acid production by the parent and mutant strains of *A. niger*.

| Methanol (%) | CS (g/100ml) | S.U.E. (%) | CC (%) | Citric acid (%) | CS (g/100ml) | S.U.E. (%) | CC (%) | Citric acid (%) |
|--------------|--------------------------------|------------|--------|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------|--------|-----------------|
| | <i>A. niger</i> F ₁ | | | | <i>A. niger</i> MF ₁ | | | |
| 0 | 13.90 | 92.65 | 57.56 | 53.33 | 13.30 | 88.67 | 67.67 | 60.00 |
| 1 | 14.40 | 96.01 | 36.11 | 34.67 | 14.19 | 94.59 | 52.15 | 49.33 |
| 2 | 13.94 | 92.92 | 46.63 | 43.33 | 14.49 | 96.60 | 62.11 | 60.00 |
| 3 | 13.83 | 92.19 | 54.23 | 50.00 | 13.27 | 88.46 | 85.91 | 76.00 |
| 4 | 13.65 | 91.01 | 67.39 | 61.33 | 14.42 | 96.13 | 97.09 | 93.33 |
| 5 | 14.17 | 94.47 | 50.81 | 48.00 | 14.14 | 94.27 | 79.21 | 74.67 |
| | <i>A. niger</i> F ₆ | | | | <i>A. niger</i> MF ₆ | | | |
| 0 | 13.74 | 91.59 | 63.32 | 58.00 | 13.99 | 93.26 | 67.91 | 63.33 |
| 1 | 14.35 | 95.67 | 50.17 | 48.00 | 14.24 | 94.29 | 70.93 | 67.33 |
| 2 | 13.87 | 92.46 | 57.68 | 53.33 | 13.82 | 92.14 | 81.04 | 74.67 |
| 3 | 14.11 | 94.07 | 63.78 | 60.00 | 13.69 | 91.27 | 84.73 | 77.33 |
| 4 | 13.50 | 90.00 | 91.11 | 82.00 | 14.63 | 97.54 | 99.11 | 96.67 |
| 5 | 13.63 | 90.87 | 74.83 | 68.00 | 14.19 | 94.61 | 89.49 | 84.67 |

CS, consumed Sugar and CC, Conversion Coefficient.

Table 9. Effect of time of methanol addition to beet molasses medium on citric acid production by the parent and mutant strains of *A. niger*.

| Incubation Period (Days) | Imme d-ately | After 24h | After 48h | After 72h | Imme d-ately | After 24h | After 48h | After 72h |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | <i>A. niger</i> F ₁ | | | | <i>A. niger</i> MF ₁ | | | |
| CS (g/100 ml) | 14.01 | 3.87 | 12.29 | 12.75 | 14.87 | 14.75 | 13.87 | 13.36 |
| S.U.E. (%) | 93.41 | 92.46 | 82.84 | 85.01 | 99.14 | 98.33 | 92.47 | 89.06 |
| CC (%) | 67.09 | 64.17 | 65.91 | 58.82 | 80.69 | 78.64 | 77.14 | 66.62 |
| Citric acid (%) | 62.67 | 59.33 | 54.00 | 50.00 | 80.00 | 77.33 | 71.33 | 59.33 |
| | <i>A. niger</i> F ₆ | | | | <i>A. niger</i> MF ₆ | | | |
| CS (g/100 ml) | 14.29 | 13.75 | 13.94 | 13.73 | 14.56 | 14.96 | 14.71 | 14.65 |
| S.U.E. (%) | 95.26 | 91.67 | 92.93 | 91.54 | 97.06 | 99.73 | 98.07 | 97.68 |
| CC (%) | 76.98 | 76.36 | 70.30 | 59.72 | 85.85 | 81.55 | 80.89 | 72.35 |
| Citric acid (%) | 73.33 | 70.00 | 65.33 | 54.67 | 83.33 | 81.33 | 79.33 | 70.67 |

CS, consumed Sugar and CC, Conversion Coefficient.

The highest yield of citric acid (80.00% and 83.33%) was obtained when methanol was added immediately to the production medium of the mutant strains MF1 and MF6, respectively. Further increase in the time of addition, did not enhance citric acid accumulation. The addition of methanol (4%) after 72 hours gave the poorest result in citric acid production (59.33 and 70.67%) by the mutant strains. Methanol markedly depressed the synthesis of the cell protein in the early stages of the cultivation and also increased the metabolic activity of enzyme (Hang and Woodams, 1986). From these facts, it was concluded that methanol induced enzyme activities

to become suitable for citric acid fermentation in the early stage of the cultivation. Addition of methanol after 24 hours or later has not been found to be beneficial. Earlier it was suggested that methanol increases the tolerance of fungi to trace elements such as Fe, Mn, Zn, etc (Ali *et al.*, 2002).

REFERENCES

- Abo-Aly, H.E. (1996). Microbiological studies on protein production by microorganisms. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ., Benha branch, Egypt.
- Ali, S.; H. Ashraf and H. Ikram-UI (2002). Enhancement in citrate production by alcoholic limitation. *J. Bio. Sci.*, 2(2):70-72.
- Ali, S.; I. Ul-Haq, M.A. Qadeer and I. Javed (2002). Production of citric acid by *Aspergillus niger* using cane molasses in a sterilized fermentor. *J. Biotechnol.* 5(3): 258-271.
- Anastassiadis, S., A. Aivasidis and C. Wandrey (2002). Citric acid production by *Candida* strains under intracellular nitrogen limitation. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 60: 81-87.
- Barrington, S. and J. Kim (2008). Response surface optimization of medium components for citric acid production by *Aspergillus niger* NRRL 567 grown in peat moss. *Biores. Technol.*, 99:368-377.
- Chmiel, A. (1975). Kinetic studies on citric acid production by *Aspergillus niger*. *Acta Microbiol. Pol. Ser. B. Microbiol. Appl.*, 7(4): 237-242. (C.f.: *Biol. Abst.*, 62, 7840, 1976).
- Darani, K. K. and A. Zoghi (2008). Comparison of pretreatment strategies of sugarcane baggase: Experimental design for citric acid production. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 99:6986-6993.
- Dubois, M.; K.A. Gilles, J.K. Hamilton, P.A. Rebers and F. Smith (1956). Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. *Anal. Chem.*, 28:350-356.
- El-Holi, M.A. and K.S. Al-Delaimy (2003). Citric acid production from whey with sugars and additives by *Aspergillus niger*. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, 2(10):356-359.
- Foster, J.W. (1949). *Chemical activities of fungi*. Academic Press, Inc. New York, NY, 648p.
- Gupta, S. and C.B. Sharma (1995). Citric acid fermentation by mutant strain *Aspergillus niger* resistant to manganese ions inhibition. *Biotechnol. Lett.*, 17, 269-274.
- Hang, Y.D. and E.E. Woodams (1986). Utilization of grape pomace for citric acid production by solid state fermentation. *A. M. J. Enol. Vitric.*, 37:141-142.
- He, G.Q.; Q.H. Chen, X.J. Ju and N.D. Shi, (2004). Improved elastase production by *Bacillus sp.* EL31410-further optimization and kinetics studies of culture medium for batch fermentation. *J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci.*, 5:149-156.
- Herbert, D.; R. Flossworth and R.C. Telling (1956). The continuous culture of bacteria, a theoretical and experimental study. *J. Gen. Microbiol.*, 14: 601-622.

- Hutter, R. (1983). Over production of microbial metabolites. In: Rehm, H.J., Reed, J. (Eds.), *Biotechnology, Microbial products*, vol.(4), Verlag Chemie. Weinheim, Germany, pp.3-17.
- Ikram-UI, H.; S. Ali, M.A. Qadeer and J. Iqbal (2003). Stimulatory effect of alcohols (methanol and ethanol) on citric acid productivity by a 2-deoxy D-glucose resistant culture of *Aspergillus niger* GCB-47. *Biores. Technol.*, 86:227–233.
- Ikram-UI, H.; S. Ali, M.A. Qadeer and J. Iqbal (2004). Citric acid production by selected mutants of *Aspergillus niger* from cane molasses. *Biores. Technol.*, 93:125–130.
- Ikram-UI, H.; S. Ali, M.A. Qadeer and J. Iqbal (2005). Optimization of nitrogen for enhanced citric acid productivity by a 2-deoxy D-glucose resistant culture of *Aspergillus niger* NG-280. *Biores. Technol.*, 96:645-648.
- Imandi, S.B., V.V.R. Bandaru, S.R. Somalanka, S.R. Bandaru and H.R. Garapati (2008). Application of statistical experimental designs for the optimization of medium constituents for the production of citric acid from pineapple waste. *Biores. Technol.*, 99: 4445–4450.
- John, R.P.; K.M. Nampoothiri and A. Pandey (2006). Solid-state fermentation for L-lactic acid production from agro wastes using *Lactobacillus delbrueckii*. *Process Biochem.* 41, 759–763.
- Lofty, W.A., KH. M. Ghanem and E.R. Helow (2007). Citric acid production by a novel *Aspergillus niger* isolate: I. Mutagenesis and cost reduction studies. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 98: 3464-3469.
- Maddox, I. S.; M. Hossain and J. D. Brooks (1986). The effect of methanol on citric acid production from galactose by *Aspergillus niger*. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 23:203–205.
- Majolli, M.V.I. and S.N. Aguirre (1999). Effect of trace metals on the cell morphology, enzyme activity and citric acid production in a strain of *Aspergillus wentii*. *Rev. Argent. Microbiol.* 31: 65-71.
- Marrier, J.R. and M. Boulets (1958). Direct determination of citric acid in milk with an improved pyridine acetic anhydride method. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 41:1683-1962.
- Martin, S.M. (1955). Effect of ferrocyanide on growth and citric acid production of *Aspergillus niger*. *Can. J. Microbiol.*, 1(8): 644-652.
- Neweigy, N.A. (1972). Citric acid fermentation. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Egypt.
- Neweigy, N.A. (1980). Effect of gamma radiation on a citric acid producer strain of *Aspergillus niger*. *Ann. Agric. Sci., Moshtohor*, 13: 39-49.
- Panda, T.; S. Kundu, and S.K. Majumdar (1984). Studies on citric acid production by *Aspergillus niger* using treated Indian cane-molasses. *J. Microbiol.*, 5: 61-66.
- Saad, M.M. (2006). Citric Acid Production from Pretreating Crude Date Syrup by *Aspergillus niger* NRRL595. *J. Appl. Sci. Res.*, 2(2): 74-79.
- Stanbury, P., A. Whitaker and S. Hall (1995). Fermentation economics. In: *Principles of Fermentation Technology*, second ed. Pergamon Press, Oxford. UK, pp.331-341.

- Thomas, W. and R.A. Dutcher (1924). The colorimetric determination of carbohydrates in plants by the picric acid reduction method. 1. The estimation of reducing sugars and sucrose. J. American Chem. Soc., 46: 1662-1669.
- Uchio, R.; I. Maeyashiki; K. Kikuchi and Y. Hirose (1976). Fermentative production of organic acids. Japan. Kokai. 76(44):692. (C.f.: Chem. Abst., 85, 121787a, 1976).
- Ul-Haq I., S. Ali, M.A. Qadeer and J. Iqbal (2003). Stimulatory effect of alcohols methanol and ethanol) on citric acid productivity by a 2-deoxy D-glucose resistant culture of *Aspergillus niger* GCB-47. Bioresour. Technol., 86(3):227-233.
- Ul-Haq I.; S. Ali, M.A. Qadeer and J. Iqbal (2002a). Influence of cultivation conditions on citrate production by *Aspergillus niger* in a semi-pilot-scale plant. Folia Microbiol. (Praha) 47(5):511-515.
- Ul-Haq I.; S. Ali; M.A. Qadeer and J. Iqbal (2002b). Citric acid fermentation by mutant strain of *Aspergillus niger* GCMC-7 using molasses based medium. World J. Microb. Biotechn., 5(2):125-132.
- Ward J.F. (1985). Biochemistry of DNA lesion. Radiat. Res. Suppl., 8: 103-111.
- Yaykasli, K.O.; G. Demirel and A. Yasar (2005). Influence of alcohols on citric acid production by *Aspergillus niger* A-9 entrapped in polyacrylamide gels. J. Food Eng., 70:518-522.

تطهير فطر الأسبرجلس نيجر بأشعة جاما لتحسين إنتاجية حمض الستريك نسيم عبد العزيز نويجي ، حامد السيد أبو علي و جيهان عبد الرحمن شعبان قسم النبات الزراعي - كلية الزراعة - جامعة بنها - مصر .

في هذه الدراسة تم استخدام أشعة جاما لإحداث طفرات في فطر الأسبرجلس نيجر تتميز بزيادة في إنتاج حمض الستريك عن السلالات الأم. ولقد تمت دراسة مقارنة بين السلالات الأم والسلالات المطفرة. وبدأت الدراسة بعزل الفطر وكانت أفضل العزلات في إنتاج حمض الستريك هما العزلتين F₁, F₆ وتم التعرف عليهما على أنهما أسبرجلس نيجر. كما درست أفضل بيئة في الإنتاج والتي كانت بيئة تشابك المعدلة. وعند تعريض هاتين السلالتين للتشعيع بأشعة جاما على جرعات مختلفة (٢٥ - ٢٠٠ ك راد) التقطت سلالة مطفرة من تعريض السلالة F₁ لجرعة ١٧٥ ك راد، كما كانت هناك سلالة أخرى عند تعريض السلالة F₆ لجرعة ١٠٠ ك راد. وأطلق عليهما MF₁, MF₆. هاتين السلالتين المطفرتين زادتتا من إنتاج حمض الستريك عن السلالتين الأم. وعند استخدام مولاس بنجر السكر كبديل لمصدر الكربون كان أفضل تركيز للسكر في المولاس عند تخفيفه إلى ١٥% سكر. أما بالنسبة لإضافة فروسيانيد البوتاسيوم للمولاس بغرض ترسيب المعادن الثقيلة فكان تركيز ٠,١٥% الأفضل في معاملة تحويل وإنتاج حمض الستريك لكل السلالات الأم والمطفرة. وبإضافة الإيثانول أو الميثانول لبيئة الإنتاج بتركيزات مختلفة أدت إلى زيادة تدريجية في إنتاج حمض الستريك إلا أن أفضل تركيز كان ٤% لكلا الكحوليين. وإن كان كحول الميثانول تفوق على الإيثانول في معاملة التحويل وكذلك في إنتاجية حمض الستريك. وكان أفضل وقت لإضافة كحول الميثانول هو عند بداية عملية التخمير مباشرة.